

BiodivERsA Policy Brief #1 – WILDLIFE DISEASES ON THE INCREASE: A SERIOUS THREAT FOR EUROPE'S BIODIVERSITY - The example of the Bd fungus disease

Knowledge and methodology used

1. Knowledge, skills and people consulted

The Policy Brief "Wildlife diseases on the increase: a serious threat for Europe's biodiversity - The example of the *Bd* fungus disease" is based on the scientific results of the <u>RACE project</u>, funded by the BiodivERsA network. The Brief extracts and summarizes the key results of the project and provides a list of relevant policy recommendations linked to current EU policy processes.

The project results were provided to the policy brief's production team by the PI (project leader) Matthew Fisher. The policy recommendations were identified following consultations involving the PI and his team and selected experts (names and methodology explained more in detail below). The BiodivERsA Policy Briefs Group, composed by representatives of BiodivERsA partners, checked and commented on the brief before publication.

IUCN Production team:

Anouska Plasmejier Cyriaque Sendashonga Juliane Zeidler, IUCN Commission on Education and Communication Liza Drius (leader of the production team) Luc Bas Thomas Brooks

Expert from the RACE project:

Matthew Fisher, Imperial College London (RACE PI)

Other experts consulted for this Brief:

Ariadne Angulo, IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group and IUCN (Biodiversity Assessment Unit)
Henrik Uhlhorn, Swedish National Veterinary Institute
Jaime Garcia-Moreno M., Amphibian Survival Alliance
Jonathan Kolby, IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC) Amphibian Specialist Group
Lee Skerratt, Regional Chair: IUCN/SSC Wildlife Health Specialist Group for Australia – New Zealand and South Pacific; Senior Research Fellow, James Cook University, Australia
Piero Genovesi, Chair, IUCN Species Survival Commission Invasive Species Specialist Group
Riccardo Scalera, Programme Officer, IUCN Species Survival Commission Invasive Species Specialist Group
Vicki Crook, TRAFFIC

BiodivERsA Policy Briefs Group:

Barbara Livoreil, Fondation pour la Recherche sur la Biodiversité (FRB), France Cecile Nivet, French National Research Agency (ANR) Dori Campo, MINECO, Spain Francisco I. Pugnaire, CSIC, Spain Frederic Lemaître, FRB Helen Baker, JNCC, the UK Henrik Lange, Formas, Sweden Hilde Eggermont, Belgian Biodiversity Platform Mark Stevenson, DEFRA, the UK Maurice Heral, ANR Per Backe-Hansen, The Research Council of Norway Per Sjögren-Gulve, SEPA, Sweden Rainer Sodtke, Projektträger im Deutschen Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfart (DLR), Germany Xavier Le Roux, FRB

2. Methodology used

IUCN European Union Representative Office was contracted by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), in the context of Task 2.2 "Promote dissemination and communication of research results to support policy" of the BiodivERsA 2 work programme. A BiodivERsA Policy Brief Group, including representatives of several BiodivERsA partners, was established to advise on the activity implementation and check the Brief's content. The BiodivERsA Policy Brief was produced by a team composed of IUCN staff. The process for the elaboration of the policy brief involve several steps – described below, from the extraction of key results of the research project funded by BiodivERsA to the drafting of policy recommendations and finalization of the Brief's text and adaptation to the layout.

1	BiodivERsA provides the list of funded BiodivERsA projects to IUCN
2	• IUCN reviews projects results and topical policy issues at EU level
3	• IUCN provides a suggested list of Policy Briefs topics by linking project results to the most relevant ongoing policy processes and priorities
4	• BiodivERsA reviews the proposed themes and provides feedback and comments in a two-week timeframe (direct comments by email or conference call as needed)
5	• Once the topic/s are identified, IUCN starts elaborating the Brief
6	• IUCN gets in contact with the project PI and carries out a more detailed analysis of the project results and scope of the project
7	• An internal consultation within IUCN is carried out to better identify the policy connections of the selected projects
8	IUCN drafts the Policy Brief text, summarizing key results and suggesting relevant policy recommendations
9	• IUCN informs the PI of progress , addresses possible questions for clarification and requests additional information as needed
10	• The PI validates the text on the project results
11	•A consultation with relevant science and policy experts identified by IUCN and also suggested by BiodivERsA is carried out, based on the draft text
12	• Further elaboration of the Brief text is carried out by IUCN to integrate comments and feedback
13	• Depening on the nature and quantity of the comments, the text is adjusted and submitted to experts for futher elaboration and/or approval of the changes. The lenght of this process is therefore variable.
14	•The draft text is finalized by IUCN
15	• The draft Brief is submitted by IUCN to the BiodivERsA Group which can comment on the Brief text within a timeframe of two weeks
16	• IUCN intergrates all comments and resends the Brief for final approval and validation by BiodivERsA
17	• The text of the Brief is then finalized
18	Consultation with IUCN communications experts is carried out on the communicability of the Policy Brief
19	• Final check of the text by PI and experts consulted to ensure possible modifications of the text have not changed the substance of the message and science information
20	• Finalization of the Policy Brief: layout and proofreading
21	• Online publishing on BiodivERsA website
22	Dissemination according to pre-agreed dissemination plan as presented by IUCN